

Industry Partners: Brent Wiles, Logistics Engineer & Emily May, Associate Logistics Engineer

Current Delivery Capacity Forecast Method											
Market	Day of Week	Stop Date	Week	Deliver Stops	ry Pick Sto	up ps	Tot Sto	al ps			
DA	Friday	7/21/17	29	571	37	4	94	5	The method sta		
DA DA	Friday	4///1/ 9/8/17	14 36	520 420	41	/ 1	93 83	/ 1	finding the 5 h volume days fo weekday in the chosen market		e 5 high
DA	Friday	9/22/17	38	476	30	6	78	2			ys for e h the
DA	Friday	5/12/17	19	380	38	4	76	4			arket fo
DA	Friday	6/16/17	24	439	30	5	744		the past 6 mc		month
DA	Friday	8/18/17	33	236	17	0	40	6	circ	paseo	
DA	Friday	7/28/17	29	154	10	8	26	2			
					Market	Da	y of	St	top	Hour	Delive
						W	eek	D	ate		Stops
					DA	Fr	iday	7/2	1/17	0	7
					DA	Fr	iday	7/2	1/17	1	8
Next, partition each day into its				ts	DA	Fr	iday 7/2		1/17	2	8
hourly delivery stops				DA	Fr	iday	7/2	1/17	3	7	
					DA	Fr	iday	7/2	1/17	4	15

DA

DA

DA

Friday 7/21/17

Friday 7/21/17

Friday 7/21/17

					D	A	Frid	lay	7/21/	/17	8	40
Market	Day of Week	Hour	7/21/17 Delivery Stops	4/7/1 Delive Stop	L7 ery s	9/8 Deli Sto	/17 very ops	9/2 Del St	2/17 ivery ops	5/2 De St	L2/17 livery tops	Average Delivery Stops
DA	Friday	0	7	6		2	4		3		5	5
DA	Friday	1	8	13		1	0		15		9	11
DA	Friday	2	8	8			Э		11		10	9
DA	Friday	3	7	9		1	6		15		9	11
DA	Friday	4	15	11		1	7		14		18	15
DA	Friday	5	21	20		1	8		22		22	21
DA	Friday	6	22	19		2	6		22		26	23
DA	Friday	7	31	30		3	2		30		34	31
DA	Friday	8	40	39		2	5		31		30	33

Lastly, average the five days hourly **delivery** stops



The histogram highlights the variance in customer appointment length, illustrating all appointments should not be treated equally, as currently assumed.

Opportunities for Improvement

- The current metric is lagging: Using the top producing days from the last six months causes the metric to lag and not take fluctuations of resources into account
- All stops are not created equal: The current methodology does not take into account the unique lengths of individual appointments.

Improving Accuracy of Appointment Capacity Forecasting Utilizing Predictive Modeling

Team Members: Brittany Watts, Project Manager; Amanda Beard, Seth Briley & Matt Turner

Delivery Stop Timeline

Driver Appointment Made

Dispatched

Outgate at Ramp

Drive to Rail Ramp & Load Freight

Delivery Capacity Forecast

	A	ctual D	elivery	Appo	intmer	nts for	FI	
	10/18	10/19	10/20	10/21	10/22	10/23	10/24	
Hour	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Missed
0:00-0:59	24	18	15	10	6	4	2	×-
1:00-1:59	26	19	19	14	7	9	9	1
2:00-2:59	34	30	24	16	7	15	13	-
3:00-3:59	28	29	24	17	7	15	13	
4:00-4:59	23	28	28	15	8	20	16	-
5:00-5:59	36	41	40	17	11	43	30	
6:00-6:59	53	52	52	19	13	60	49	-
7:00-7:59	63	64	61	26	15	66	58	•
8:00-8:59	63	52	53	28	13	60	51	1
9:00-9:59	60	35	32	18	19	42	29	
10:00-10:59	27	35	27	20	16	32	16	1
11:00-11:59	31	33	29	16	14	34	23	5
12:00-12:59	32	31	27	12	16	36	21	4
13:00-13:59	40	27	18	9	11	28	17	7
14:00-14:59	38	24	18	7	15	27	12	2
15:00-15:59	12	19	12	8	17	22	9	13
16:00-16:59		16	8	8	15	17	6	11
17:00-17:59	21	19	14	5	11	14	7	-
18:00-18:59	21	21	18	9	11	23	9	-
19:00-19:59	22	28	14	7	15	30	21	
20:00-20:59	30	27	16	11	16	26	17	
21:00-21:59	23	18	12	9	12	22	15	<u>(</u> •)
22:00-22:59	22	19	11	6	16	18	8	-
23:00-23:59	24	16	14	5	13	20	8	-
TOTAL	753	701	586	312	304	683	459	

Delivery Capacity for FI 16 8 22 29 29 18 9 27 34 42 41 20 12 50 48 53 22 14 67 29 16 73 54 31 14 67 21 20 49 17 39 29 23 27 19 15 41 21 15 17 43 19 12 12 35 20 10 16 34 14 11 18 29 10 11 16 24 13 21 13 30 12 10 17 37 18 14 18 33 14 | 12 | 14 | 29 | 34 21 13 10 18 26 27 22:00-22:59 22 **23:00-23:59** 24 18 16 9 15 28 26

Our Initial Estimation Model

t_{avg} = average appointment duration for that market on that day

TOTAL 774 732 612 386 335 853 896

drivers available for fleet $\times \frac{10 \text{ hours}}{driver} =$ $T_{total} = \sum_{i} (T_{fleet} \times \% fleet \ services \ mathbf{mathcharge})$ $\frac{T_{total}}{t_{avg}} \times \% F \text{ stops} = A$

Fleet	Driver	Fleet %	Day of	Drivers		Raw Est.	Day of Week	t _{avg}	% F	F Est.
Name	Minutes		Week	Available		Appts.		Ű	Stops	Appts
	Avail. (T)					77	Sunday	133	0.36	28
	600	100%	Sunday	1		229	Monday	157	0.51	120
	4900		Monday	-		255	Tuesday	166	0.43	113
JDI IVIIVIICL	4000	95%	Wonday	0		240	Wednesday	171	0.38	95
JBI MMICL	6600	93%	Tuesday	11		243	Thursday	182	0.30	78
JBI MMICL	6600	92%	Wednesday	11		216	Friday	182	0.32	74
JBI MMICL	6600	94%	Thursday	11		114	Saturday	144	0.32	36
JBI MMICL	6000	94%	Friday	10					m	
JBI MMICL	2400	86%	Saturday	4	Raw Est Appts = $\frac{T_{total}}{T_{total}}$					
JBI MMLA	11400	83%	Sunday	19	t_{avg}					
JBI MMLA	31800	92%	Monday	53	Tł	ne column f	Est Appts is t	he es	timatio	n of deliver
JBI MMLA	36000	92%	Tuesday	60	capacity, including outsource.					е.
JBI MMLA	36000	91%	Wednesday	60	$F \ Est \ Appts = (Raw \ Est \ Appts \times \% \ F \ Stops)$					
JBI MMLA	39600	90%	Thursday	66	+((Raw Est	Appts $\times \%$	f Sto	$ps) \times C$	Jutsource
JBI MMLA	34800	90%	Friday	58		Da	y of Hour of	%	Ар	pts
JBI MMLA	16200	83%	Saturday	27		W	eek Day			

The Delivery Capacity Forecast is required to be hourly. The day's estimate is distributed hourly by the % of stops historically done on that hour

ts by nest each

21

22

31

5

7

6











Unload

Call

Customer

T _{fleet} arket) _i	 determines total time available for a fleet determines total time available for that day determines estimated number of appointments available that day

Day of	Hour of	%	Appts	
Week	Day			
Sunday	0	2.12%	0.59	
Sunday	1	1.57%	0.44	
Sunday	2	1.18%	0.33	
Sunday	3	1.18%	0.33	
Sunday	4	1.49%	0.42	
Sunday	5	1.73%	0.48	
Sunday	6	2.44%	0.68	
Sunday	7	3.77%	1.05	
Sunday	8	4.87%	1.36	

				L = t $L = 1$	av L _a		
				$L_b =$	L		
				$L_a =$	L		
	lf a cu the m addeo	istom arket d back	er's ave 's avera to the	erage a age app surrou	p o n		
				Appointm	iei		
		L _b					
			t_b				
	If the	oppos	site is t	rue, th	e		
	from [·]	the su	irround	ling hoi Resi	ui J		
Two 2 s absolut team's	ample t e error initial e	t-test wi betwee stimate	ith H_1 : μ n JBl's Ca & actual	_{Team} < μ apacity Est appointm	ເ _C tir າe		
Result	s of Cal	culated	Absolut	e Errors			
viarket Set	μ _{Cap} Error	μ _{Team} Error	P-value	Cl Opper Bound			
DV	2.167	2.012	0.141	0.083			
НО	1.393	1.619	0.994	0.375			
LC	2.905	6.654	1.000	4.547			
SV	0.904	0.701	0.002	-0.09			
WB	0.81	0.72	0.146	0.05			
VF	1.22	0.643	0.000	-0.432			
Removing the absolute value							

Update Methodology

Variables t_k = average appointment of customer k t_b = time before appointment = drive time t_a = time after appointment = time at customer + drive time L = time to be reallocated, when $t_k \neq t_{avg}$ L_b = time to be reallocated before appointment L_a = time to be reallocated after appointment **Equations** $g - t_k$ $\times \frac{t_b}{-}$ $\times \frac{t_a}{t_k}$

pointment is less than pintment, time is nding hours.



en time is subtracted lts

an on calculated errors and calculated mate & actual appointments and our ents were conducted.

> • According to the P-value, only in 2 of the 6 markets tested the team's estimate proved statistically to be significantly less than the current estimate • The team's large error in market LC

is believed to be due to some model assumptions needing adjusted for higher volume markets

Results of Calculated Errors

requirement from the errors gives operational insight. The costs associated with underestimating capacity is more favorable than costs associated with overestimating capacity. Therefore, operationally our estimate's error is preferred.

Market Set	μ _{Cap} Error	μ _{Team} Error	P-value	CI Upper Bound
Test*	0.285	-0.617	0.000	-0.815
DV	0.905	-0.095	0.000	-0.759
НО	-0.012	-0.226	0.014	-0.054
LC	-0.036	-5.548	0.000	-4.477
SV	0.21	-0.521	0.000	-0.648
WB	0.31	-0.696	0.000	-0.916
VF	0.875	-0.226	0.000	-0.987

*represents 18 markets chosen for testing